

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.			FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2385	
10/001,308			Jean-Jacques Duruz	MOL0587CON		
7	7590	09/18/2003				
Jayadeep R. I		ch ·	EXAMINER			
6 Meetinghouse Court Princeton, NJ 08540				VALENTINE, DONALD R		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1742	, <u>}</u>	
				DATE MAILED: 09/18/2003	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
٠	43	10/001,308	DURUZ ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Donald R. Valentine	1742					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sh et with th c	orrespondence address					
THE N - Extenditer S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-82 is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 7-9, 18-21, 23-24, 27-28, 27-28, 34-35, 37, 41, 46, 50, 58- 5 9, 61, 66-68, 72-7 5, 77 -79, 81-82</u>							
is/are reje	•							
	Claim(s) 3-6,10-17,22,25,26,29-33,36,38-40,42	2-45,47-49,51-57,60,62-65,69-71	,76 and 80 is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.						
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
_	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		·					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
·	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures to the company of the							

Attachment(s)			· .
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	n Review (PTO-948)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P		6) Other: .	atom Application (1.10-102)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	, Office Action Summ	nary	Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 7-9, 18-21, 23-24, 27-28, 34-35, 37, 41, 46, 50, 58-59, 61, 66-68, 72-75, 77-79, 81-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al in view of Keller.

Yamada et al show an electrolytic cell for electrolysis of alumina dissolved in molten cryolyte (fluoride-containing). The cell includes an anode, which is metal-based, and has an electronic conductive oxide, (electrochemically-active),

Art Unit: 1742

iron oxide-based outside coating (layer). (See col. 6, lines 65-68). Yamada et al disclose plasma spraying and coating the electrode base with an alloy and oxidizing the coated electrode. (Col. 7, lines 15-25).

Yamada et al disclose plasma spraying and coating the electrode base with an alloy and oxidizing the coated electrode. (Col. 7, lines 15-25). Yamada et al disclose heating the electrode for purposes of fabrication. (Col. 7, lines 40-45).

Yamada et al also teach iron oxides as anode components. (See 6, lines 20-59.)

Yamada et al do not teach maintaining the electrolyte with a sufficient concentration of iron species to maintain the oxide layer of the anode dimensionally stable without excessively contaminating the product aluminum.

Keller shows electrolysis of alumina in a cryolyte bath utilizing an anode which is exposed to the electrolyte by a surface mixture of iron oxide-nickel oxide. (See col. 4, lines 4-51). Keller adds constituents of the anode to the electrolyte melt (See col. 4, lines 10-30) namely measured amounts of iron oxide and nickel oxide, such amounts being in a concentrations which, apparently, are those amounts which maintain the anode composition at a level commensurate with the dissolution amounts of the anode itself.

Art Unit: 1742

It would be considered within the skill of the art to feed into the electrolyte of Yamada et al anode constituents lost by due to corrosion as taught by Keller because the anodes of Yamada et al suffer from dissolution via corrosion, the reaction in both references is a surface one and Keller teaches how to account for anode dissolution by way of corrosion by feeding anode constituents into the electrolyte melt.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 3-6, 10-17, 22, 25-26, 29-33, 36, 38-40, 42-45, 47-49, 51-57, 57, 60, 62-65, 69-71, 76 and 80 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The references of record do not show or suggest a cell for electrowinning aluminum from alumina dissolved in a molten fluoride containing electrolyte, comprising one or more anodes each having a metal based substrate and an electrochemically-active iron oxide-based outside layer wherein the anode substrate comprises a plurality of layers carrying on the outermost layer the iron oxide based layer.

Art Unit: 1742

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Duruz et al show ceramic oxide electrodes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald R. Valentine whose telephone number is 703-308-3327. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

| Mall | Mal

Donald R. Valentine Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

drv

September 8, 2003